Contribution ID: 5fef3189-bb25-45f9-909e-ca8b12f5b641

Date: 11/07/2018 17:56:25

interim evaluation of direct management part of the European Maritime and Fisheries Fund

Fields marked with * are mandatory.	
-------------------------------------	--

introduction

The aim of the European Maritime and Fisheries Fund (EMFF) is to support the implementation of the EU's Integrated Maritime Policy and Common Fisheries Policy. 90% of the Fund is managed autonomously by national authorities. However, just over 10%, €647,275,400 in total over the seven years 2014-2020, has been earmarked for measures managed directly by the services of the European Commission and the Executive Agency for Small and Medium Enterprises. Approximately 100 contracts under this direct management part of the programme are signed each year.

This Public Consultation has been launched to support the ongoing Interim evaluation of the implementation of the direct management component of the European Maritime and Fisheries Fund (EMFF). The evaluation aims to determine how well the objectives have been met by considering their relevance, effectiveness, efficiency (including an assessment of the potential for regulatory simplification and burden reduction), coherence and EU added value. You are invited to contribute to this evaluation by replying to the questionnaire below.

identification

Your personal details (name and e-mail) may be used to contact you regarding this consultation; in particular to check that the summary of the outcome of the consultation reflects your views.

* 1	Name
	Tapani Veistola
* 2	Email
	tapani.veistola@sll.fi
*3	where are you based?
	Finland

*4 Are you replying on behalf of an organisation or as an individual?

as part of an organisation as an individual
 5 Do you consent to the European Commission publishing your replies? Yes include my name and organisation name (I consent to the publication of all information in my contribution in whole or in part, including my name and the organisation name. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent publication). Yes include only organisation name I consent to the publication of all information in my contribution in whole or in part, including my organisation's name but not my name, and I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent publication). Only anonymously (I consent to the publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that I remain anonymous. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent publication).
*6 What organisation? Suomen luonnonsuojeluliitto
*7 Is your organisation registered under the EU transparency register? If you do not know you can search the register. You may register here if not already registered yes no *8 What is your identification number in transparency register?
73282515553-33
 9 Do you consent to the European Commission publishing your replies? Yes include my name (I consent to the publication of all information in my contribution in whole or in part, including my name. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent publication). Only anonymously (I consent to the publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that I remain anonymous. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent publication).
 9 Do you consent to the European Commission publishing your replies? Yes include my name (I consent to the publication of all information in my contribution in whole or in part, including my name. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent publication). Only anonymously (I consent to the publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that I remain anonymous. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would

*14 What aspects of fisheries concern you? (more than one answer allowed)
✓ fishing wholesale other
processing retail
*16 Which part of blue economy interests you? (more than one answer allowed)
☑ bioeconomy (living resources) ☑ non-living resources ☐ generic technology
✓ tourism ✓ transport □ coastal engineering
shipbuilding renewable energy other
*18 What aspects of marine resources and environment concern you (more than one answer allowed)
conservation of commercial spatial planning environmental reporting other
species
management of protected areas coastal protection impact assessments
*20 Have you or your organisation received funding from the direct management part of European Maritime and
Fisheries Fund?
yes no volume don't know

effectiveness

21 How much have these actions contributed to the stronger maritime economy, better protection of marine resources or a more joined-up approach to maritime policies?

	significantly to a stronger maritime economy	significantly to better protection of marine resources	significantly to more joined-up approach to maritime policie	to a stronger maritime economy to some extent	better protection of marine resources to some extent	to more joined- up approach to maritime policies to some extent	not at all	no opinion
*contributions to international organisations	0	•	0	0	0	•	0	0
*creation of digital sea bed maps	0	0	•	0	0	•	0	0
*events to share knowledge between stakeholders	0	0	•	0	0	•	0	0
*information tools and vessel chartering to support fisheries control and enforcement	0	•	©	•	•	•	0	0
* observatory for fishery and aquaculture products	0	0	0	0	0	•	0	•
*projects to facilitate cross-border spatial planning	0	•	0	0	0	•	0	0

* projects to improve information flow between maritime authorities	•	0	©	0	0	©	0	0
*scientific advice for fisheries	0	•	0	0	0	0	0	0
*studies to help authorities meet requirements of Marine Strategy Framework Directive	©	•	©	©	©	©	0	•
*studies to improve knowledge of blue growth	0	•	0	0	0	0	0	0
*support to Advisory Councils	0	0	0	0	0	•	0	0
*support to regional fisheries management organisations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	•

22	Do you have any comments on how these action	ns could be i	mproved to	increase the	ir usefulness?	
rel	evance					
23	How relevant to the needs of the blue economy e supported by the European Maritime and Fishe		ne environn	nent were the	e following mea	asures that
wei	е ѕарропей ву ше Ештореат малште али гіѕпе	essentia	very useful	of some use	not necessary	no opinion
	*creation of digital sea bed maps	•	0	0	0	0
	*events to share knowledge between stakeholders	0	•	0	0	0
	*projects to facilitate cross-border spatial planning	•	0	0	0	0
	*projects to improve information flow between maritime authorities	0	•	0	0	0
	*studies to help authorities meet requirements of Marine Strategy Framework Directive	•	0	0	0	0
	*studies to improve knowledge of blue growth	0	•	0	0	0
24	Please explain your scoring (optional question)					
	How relevant were the following measures to the sheries resources?	e economica	lly, environn	nentally and	socially sustair	nable use
		essential	very useful	of some use	not necessary	no opinion
	*contributions to international organisations	0	•	0	0	0
	*observatory for fishery and aquaculture	0	•	0	0	0

products

*scientific advice for fisheries	•	0	0	©	0
*support to Advisory Councils	0	0	•	0	0
support to information technology and chartering vessels	0	•	0	0	0
*support to regional fisheries management organisations	0	•	0	0	0
6 Places explain your easing (entianal succetion)					
6 Please explain your scoring (optional question)					
o riease explain your scoring (optional question)					
o riease explain your scoring (optional question)					
o riease explain your scoring (optional question)					

coherence

27 How coherent were measures undertaken with other EU policies?

	completely complementary	complementary but could be better coordinated	overlapping	contradictory	unrelated	no opinion
* energy policy	0	•	0	0	0	0
* environmental policy	0	•	0	0	0	0
industrial policy	0	•	0	0	0	0
*regional policy	0	•	0	0	0	0
*research policy	0	•	0	0	0	0
*space policy	0	•	0	0	0	0
*transport policy	0	•	0	0	0	0

28 Ple	28 Please explain your scoring Or include other remarks (optional question)							

EU added-value

29 In your opinion, what is the EU added-value of the activities undertaken under the direct management component of the EMFF?

	adds to work done at national level	best done at EU level	could be done at national level	would be better through bilateral or multilateral agreements	no opinion
* contributions to international organisations	•	0	0	0	0
*creation of digital sea bed maps	•	0	0	0	0
*events to share knowledge between stakeholders	•	0	0	0	0
* information tools and vessel chartering to support fisheries control and enforcement	•	0	0	•	•
*observatory for fishery and aquaculture products	0	0	0	0	•
* projects to facilitate cross- border spatial planning	•	0	0	0	0
* projects to improve information flow between maritime authorities	•	0	0	0	0
*scientific advice for fisheries	•	0	0	0	0
* studies to help authorities meet requirements of Marine Strategy Framework Directive	•	0	0	•	0
* studies to improve knowledge of blue growth	•	0	0	0	0
*support to Advisory Councils	0	0	0	0	•

	*support to regional fisheries management organisations	•	0	0	•	0				
30	Please provide any more commen	nts on EU added	d value? (o _l	otional)						
	Advisory coucils have problems threating their usefulness: the rise of regional fisheries management organisations and 60/40 share of power between professional fishermen and other interest groups.									
	efficiency (question only for those who have participated in actions supported by the European Maritime and Fisheries Fund)									
otl	ner remarks									
30	3 please add any other information	that you feel to	be relevant	(optional ques	ation)					
34	34 Upload any additional information									
Th	ne maximum file size is 1 MB									
Conta										
ıaın.SH	ain.SHEPHERD@ec.europa.eu									